Executive Board – 19th December 2023

Subject:	Letting of premises at 3, 5, 7, 9 & 11 Carrington Street, Nottingham, NG1 7EQ			
Corporate Director(s)/Director(s)	Sajeeda Rose – Corporate Director for Growth and City Development			
Director(s)/Director(s): Portfolio Holder(s):	Councillor Steve Battlemuch – Portfolio Holder for Skills, Growth, Economic Development and Property			
Report author and	Riazul Ahad — Estates Surveyor			
contact details: Other colleagues who	Riazul.Ahad@nottinghamcity.gov.uk – 01158763687			
have provided input:	Bevis Mackie – Corporate Portfolio & Investment Manager Mick Suggett – Solicitor - Team Leader Conveyancing			
nave provided input.	Sarah Baker – Senior Commercial Business Partner (Strategic Assets			
	& Property)			
Subject to call-in: X	es No			
Key Decision:	es No			
Criteria for Key Decision:				
	Income Savings of £750,000 or more taking account of the overall			
impact of the decis				
and/or				
· ,	on communities living or working in two or more wards in the City			
☐ Yes ⊠ No				
Type of expenditure:	Revenue Capital			
	e considered by Capital Board			
Date:	iona Con Essanat Annon dis			
	ion: See Exempt Appendix			
Section 151 Officer expenditures the appenditure of	···			
	roved by the Section 151 Officer?			
Wards affected: N/A	noval reference number. 722			
	h Portfolio Holder(s): 29th November 2023			
Relevant Council Plan				
Green, Clean and Conne				
Keeping Nottingham Wor				
Carbon Neutral by 2028				
Safer Nottingham				
Child-Friendly Nottinghan	n 🗍			
Living Well in our Commu	unities \square			
Keeping Nottingham Mov	ing			
Improve the City Centre				
Better Housing				
Serving People Well				
Summary of issues (inc	luding benefits to citizens/service users):			
Letting of Units 3, 5, 7, 9 & 11 Carrington Street on market facing terms to a prime UK covenant.				
The unit has been openly marketed by a retail property specialist which has recommended the Council accept the terms of the letting.				
The letting will provide income and remove void costs.				

Does this report contain any information that is exempt from publication?

This report / an appendix to the report is exempt from publication under paragraph 3 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972 because it contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of particular persons (including the authority holding the information) and, having regard to all the circumstances, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information. It is not in the public interest to disclose this information because it could prejudice future negotiations.

The Legal Services comments in the appendix are also exempt from publication under paragraph number 5 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972 because they contain information in respect of which a claim to legal professional privilege could be maintained in legal proceedings relating to a proposed transaction and, having regard to all the circumstances, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information. It is not in the public interest to disclose this information because it contains confidential legal advice in respect of the proposed transaction and disclosure could prejudice the Council's position.

Recommendation(s):

- 1 To approve the lease terms agreed with the prospective tenant in respect of the subject property as set out in the attached exempt appendix.
- 2 To note that associated fees will be paid, and to note that this expenditure has been approved by the Section 151 Officer.
- 3 To delegate the approval of final terms to the Director of Economic Development & Property

1. Reasons for recommendations

1.1 The property has been vacant since completion of construction, with the opportunity marketed by an external agent on behalf of the Council. Acceptable lease terms have been negotiated with the prospective tenant.

2. Background (including outcomes of consultation)

2.1 The property forms part of the Broadmarsh Bus Station complex. The property has been openly marketed by a specialist commercial property agent and market facing terms have been agreed. The letting will ensure the Council mitigates against ongoing void costs and ensure a secure rental income is received from this asset.

3. Other options considered in making recommendations

3.1 Not to proceed with the letting to the prospective tenant – this is not recommended as the premises have marketed and terms have been agreed with a single occupier on market facing terms. The Council is responsible for meeting all associated void costs.

4. Consideration of Risk

4.1 The proposed letting would ensure the premises are fully let under a single transaction providing a single revenue stream and remove the financial risks associated with separate lettings on potentially less favourable terms. In completing the letting it will remove ongoing void costs and ensure a secure rental income is received from this asset.

5.	Finance colleague comments (including implication money/VAT)	ons and value for	
	Comments are provided in the exempt appendix		
	Sarah Baker – Senior Commercial Business Partner (\$24 th November 2023	Strategic Assets & Property)	
6.	Legal colleague comments		
6.1	Comments are provided in the exempt appendix		
	Mick Suggett, Solicitor – Team Leader Conveyancing	30 th November 2023	
7.	Other relevant comments (Property Services)		
7.1	Comments are provided in the exempt appendix		
	Bevis Mackie – Corporate Portfolio & Investment Mana & Property 27 th November 2023	ager – Strategic Assets	
8.	Crime and Disorder Implications (If Applicable)		
8.1	Not applicable		
9.	Social value considerations (If Applicable)		
9.1	Not applicable		
10.	Regard to the NHS Constitution (If Applicable)		
10.1	Not applicable		
11.	Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)		
11.1	Has the equality impact of the proposals in this report	been assessed?	
	No An EIA is not required because the decision does not or policy.	⊠ impact Council services	
	Yes		
12.	Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA)		
12.1	Has the data protection impact of the proposals in this report been assessed?		
	No A DPIA is not required because the decision will not in data to any parties. If this is required at a later date as process and DPIA will be completed.		
	Yes		

13.	Carbon Impact Assessment (CIA)	
13.1	Has the carbon impact of the proposals in this report	been assessed?
	No A CIA is not required because the subject of this repoimpact on carbon impact.	ort will not have any
	Yes	
14.	List of background papers relied upon in writing published documents or confidential or exempt in	
	published documents or confidential or exempt in	
14.1 15.	published documents or confidential or exempt in None	